Effects of conservation tillage on nutrient losses to runoff in alternative and conventional farming systems

FREP Contract # 01-0473

Project Leaders

Leisa M. Huyck Sustainable Agriculture Farming Systems Project Department of Agronomy & Range Science University of California Davis, CA

Jeff Mitchell
Department of Vegetable Crops
University of California, Davis
Kearney Agricultural Center
Parlier, CA

William Horwath & Wes Wallender Dept. of Land, Air, & Water Resources University of California Davis, CA

Cooperators

Jim Jackson Dept. of Vegetable Crops University of California Davis, CA

Bruce Rominger Grower Winters, CA

Tony Turkovich Grower Winters, CA

Scott Park Grower Meridian, CA

Introduction

Agricultural runoff is a major pathway for loss of nutrients from farm soils. Nutrient losses to runoff result in inefficient use of fertilizer and mean both higher costs to farmers, as they pay to replace the lost nutrients, and higher costs to society as it pays to clean up degraded waterways

Conservation tillage (CT), with necessitated changes in irrigation system design and efficiency, and cover-cropping, are two avenues for reducing runoff and decreasing nutrient losses. These management alternatives also have the potential to improve many other aspects of farm management for California growers, including reducing labor and fuel costs, increasing soil water storage, decreasing water usage, decreasing dust emissions, increasing carbon sequestration, and increasing net revenues. No studies have been done in California to evaluate the separate and/or combined effects of CT and covercropping, on runoff and consequent nutrient loss to surface waterways, in conventional or alternative production systems.

The purpose of this project is to quantify relationships between tillage and fertility management, and runoff and nutrient loss from soils farmed using organic, low-input, and conventional practices. Measurements will be made of runoff from plots at the Sustainable Agriculture Farming Systems (SAFS) research site at UC Davis, a long-term comparison of organic, low-input, and conventional farming systems, and from farm fields provided by SAFS grower-collaborators. Grab samples will be taken from the runoff and analyzed for suspended sediment and nitrogen and phosphorus content. The well-developed SAFS outreach structure will be used to extend the results of the study to farmers, farm advisors, policymakers, and the general public.

Objectives

- To quantify the amount of runoff from plots and fields farmed using organic, low-input, and conventional practices, with conservation and standard tillage.
- 2. To quantify the amount of nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment in this runoff.
- 3. To extend the results of this study to farmers, policymakers, and the general public.

Description

This project is a three-year effort to quantify relationships between tillage, fertility management, runoff, and nutrient loss to surface waterways, from soils farmed using several different resource management strategies. Measurements necessary to quantify these relationships will be made in fields provided by three growers in Yolo County, and at the Sustainable Agriculture Farming Systems (SAFS) research site on the UC Davis campus. This experiment will take advantage of an established long-term research site with a history of organic, low-input, and conventional management. Organic fertility management combines manures and cover-cropping, low-input integrates cover-cropping and commercial fertilizer, and conventional management relies on commercial

fertilizer alone. The plots are being converted to a study of conservation vs. standard tillage in all three management systems. The experiment will also take advantage of growers' interest in examining relationships between tillage, covercropping, and nutrient runoff.

At the SAFS site, plots of one-third acre each (to allow use of full-scale farm equipment) will be treated with a tillage x farming system factorial design for conventional, organic, and low-input systems. All farming systems will have had at least a 12 – year history of management under their defining criteria. All farming-system treatments will use "best farmer management practices," to be determined by consensus of the research team, which includes farmers and farm advisors. The SAFS project is unique in that farmers participate in every stage of the research process, including planning and design, execution, and interpretation and dissemination of results.

The conventional systems will be managed with practices typical of the surrounding area, which include the use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. In the low-input systems, fertilizer and pesticide inputs will be reduced primarily by using legume cover crops to improve soil fertility, and predominantly mechanical cultivation for weed management. The organic system will be managed according to the regulations of California Certified Organic Farmers (CCOF, 1995), with no use of synthetic chemical pesticides or fertilizers. Instead, management will include the use of cover crops, composted animal manure, mechanical cultivation, and limited use of CCOF-approved products. Tillage and farming operations will be conducted for the first two years as shown in Table 1.

At growers' fields, the size of plots or fields to be dedicated to the study will be decided by the growers. The basic rotation will be a 2-year one of tomatoes and corn, starting with tomatoes. Two treatments will be used: the normal growers' practices for the site, and a conservation tillage treatment to be decided upon by consensus of growers and researchers.

Measurements:

Runoff

Runoff from each plot will be channeled through a v-shaped weir draining into a ditch at the end of each plot. Because the opening of the weir is a known dimension, the rate of runoff is proportional to the height of water flowing through the weir. The water height will be measured with a pressure transducer placed at the bottom of the weir and connected to a datalogger. This system allows readings to be taken several times a minute so that the rate of runoff can be plotted over time and directly related to rainfall intensity.

Nitrogen and phosphorus

Grab samples of 500 mL of runoff water will be taken at regular intervals during any storms of high enough intensity to generate runoff. Samples will be analyzed for total suspended solids, nitrate, ammonium, total N, and total P in the solids, and ammonium, nitrate/nitrite, TKN, and TP in dissolved form.

Results

There are no results as yet. Due to delays in funding the project was not commenced until October 2002.

Table 1. I	Production	practices	for all	treatments.
------------	------------	-----------	---------	-------------

Conventional		Cover Cropped		Organic			
CT	ST	CT	ST	CT	ST		
Corn				,			
DEC-Apply fallow herbicide March-plant corn with starter fertilizer and banded herbicide) April- cultivate 2x and side dress fertilizer April-July-irrigate 6x Sept- Harvest	Aug-Disc 2x Aug-triplane 2x Oct-list 60" beds Dec-apply fallow herbicide Mar-plant corn with starter fertilizer and banded herbicide Apr-cultivate 2x and side dress fertilizer Apr-July-irrigate 6x Sept-Harvest	Oct-plant Faba cover crop Mar-Apply cover crop burn down herbicide Mar-chop cover crop Mar-plant corn with starter fertilizer and banded herbicide Apr-cultivate 2x and side dress fertilizer Apr-July-irrigate 6x Sept-Harvest	Augdisc 2x Octplant cover faba cover crop Marchop cover crop Mardisc cover crop 2x Mar-stubble disc 1x Apr-Triplane Apr-List 60" beds Apr-preirrigate Apr-dry mulch beds Apr-plant corn with starter fertilizer and banded herbicide May-cultivate 2x and side dress fertilizer May-Aug -irrigate 6xOct- Harvest	Octplant Faba cover crop Marchop cover crop Marplant corn with organic starter fertilizer Aprspread Manure Aprcultivate 2x Apr-Julyirrigate 6x SeptHarvest	Augdisc 2x Octplant faba cover crop Marchop cover crop (March) Mardisc cover crop 2x Marspread manure Marstubble disc 1x AprTriplane AprList 60" beds Aprpreirrigate Aprplant corn Maycultivate 2x Mayirrigate 6x OctHarvest		
Tomatoes							
Septchop residue Decapply fallow herbicide Aprstrip till bed centers Aprincorporate preplant herbicide Aprtransplant tomatoes May/Junecultivate 3x Maysidedress fertilizer Apr/Juneirrigate 6- 7x Augharvest	Septchop residue Septdisc 2x Septdeep rip Septdisc Septtriplane 2x Octlist beds Decapply fallow herbicide Aprwork beds Aprincorporate preplant herbicide Aprtransplant Apr/Maycultivate 3x Maysidedress fertilizer Apr/Julyirrigate 6-7x Augharvest	Septchop residue Novdrill faba bean cover crop Marapply burn down herbicide to cover crop MarChop cover crop Aprstrip till bed centers Aprincorporate preplant herbicide Aprtransplant Tomatoes Apr/Maycultivate 3x Maysidedress fertilizer Apr/Julyirrigate 6-7x -harvest (Aug)	Octchop residue Octdisc 2x Octdeep rip 2x Octdisc Octdisc Octplant faba bean cover crop Aprchop cover crop Aprdisc 2x Aprstubble disc Aprdisc Aprtriplane 2x Aprlist 60"beds Aprincorporate preplant herbicide Apr-Transplant Maysidedress fertilizer Apr/Maycultivate 3x Apr/Julyirrigate 6-7x -harvest (Aug)	Septchop residue Novdrill faba bean cover crop Marchop cover crop Aprstrip till bed centers Aprtransplant Tomatoes with organic starter fertilizer May-sidedress manure Apr/Maycultivate 3x Apr/Mayirrigate 6-7x Augharvest	Octchop residue Octdisc 2x Octdeep rip Octdisc OctTriplane 2x Novplant faba bean cover crop Marchop cover crop Mardisc 2x Aprstubble disc Aprdisc Aprtriplane 2x Aprspread manure Apr-list 60"beds Aprtransplant Apr/Maycultivate 3x Apr/Mayirrigate 6-7x Augharvest		